Stereochemically Probing the Photo-Favorskii Rearrangement: A Mechanistic Investigation

Richard S. Givens,* Marina Rubina, and Kenneth F. Stensrud

Department of Chemi[stry](#page-7-0), The University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, 5010 Malott Hall, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: Using model (R) -2-acetyl-2-phenyl acetate esters of (S) - or (R) - α -substituted-phydroxybutyrophenones (S,R) -12a and (R,R) -12b, we have shown that a highly efficient photo-Favorskii rearrangement proceeds through a series of intermediates to form racemic rearrangement products. The stereogenic methine on the photoproduct, $rac{1}{r}$ (p-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid (rac-9), is formed by closure of a phenoxy-allyloxy intermediate 17 collapsing to a cyclopropanone, the "Favorskii" intermediate 18. These results quantify the intermediacy of a racemized triplet biradical ³16 on the major rearrangement pathway elusively to the intermediate 18. Thus, intersystem crossing from the triplet biradical surface to the ground state generates a planar zwitterion prior to formation of a Favorskii cyclopropanone that retains no memory of its stereochemical origin. These results parallel the mechanism of Dewar and Bordwell for the ground state formation of cyclopropanone 3 that proceeds through an oxyallyl zwitterionic intermediate. The results are not consistent with the stereospecific $S_N 2$ ground state Favorskii mechanism observed by Stork, House, and Bernetti. Interconversion of the

diastereomeric starting esters of (S,R) -12a and (R,R) -12b during photolysis did not occur, thus ruling out leaving group return prior to rearrangement.

■ INTRODUCTION

The p-hydroxyphenacyl (pHP) chromophore has been established as a clean, efficient photoremovable protecting group (PPG) for nucleofuges such as phosphates, sulfonates, carboxylates, and certain thiols and phenols.^{1,2} The chromophore imparts good hydrophilicity, excellent biological compatibility, and relatively easy installation of the pr[otec](#page-7-0)ted moiety. In aqueous media, the photorelease is accompanied by rearrangement of the chromophore, originally described as a photo-Favorskii rearrangement by Reese and Anderson,³ that results in a dramatic hypsochromic shift in its absorption spectrum. The release of the leaving groups occurs rapidly $(k_r = 10^8 - 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1})$ $(k_r = 10^8 - 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1})$ $(k_r = 10^8 - 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1})$ in quantitative chemical yield, and the quantum yields approach 1.0. Together, these properties have encouraged us and others to investigate further applications² including the new chemical transformations such as ring contraction reactions.⁴ In turn, there has been a renewed inter[es](#page-7-0)t in the mechanism of the photo-Favorskii rearrangement itself.^{1,5,6}

Controversy surrounds the extent to which two transient intermediates, the triplet biradical 32 32 [an](#page-8-0)d the spirodienone 3, play a role in the photo-Favorskii rearrangement. The chronological sequence that we embraced for the photo-Favorskii rearrangement (Scheme 1) includes ³2 and 5, two detectable transients using time-resolved (TR) transient absorption spectroscopy.^{5b} The earl[ies](#page-1-0)t transient $(\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 445 and 455 nm) has been assigned the structure of the triplet allyloxy-phenoxy biradical $(^{3}2)$, which is formed from $^{3}1$. It decays with a rate constant of $k_{\text{biral}} = 1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to form cyclopropanone 3, the "Favorskii" intermediate, 3 which has eluded detection thus far.

Nevertheless, 3 serves as the necessary bridge between the structure of the pHP chromophore in 1 and its photoproducts, p -hydroxyphenylacetic acid (4) and p -hydroxybenzyl alcohol (6). Phenylacetic acid 4 is formed from hydrolysis of 3, whereas decarbonylation forms 5 (a p-methylenequinone) that hydrolyzes to p-hydroxybenzyl alcohol $6.5b.7$ However, evidence for intermediate 2 and its subsequent conversion to 3 is incomplete and circumstantial, leading others to [pro](#page-8-0)pose alternative mechanisms that either bypassed the biradical 32 intermediate s _c,6a-g or, in at least one report,^{6h} completely disregarded the Favorskii cyclopropanone 3 altogether.

The stereochemical i[nt](#page-8-0)egrity of the migrating methylene group should serve as a quantitative measure by the degree of racemization resulting from formation of a racemic intermediate such as biradical 2. Indeed, stereochemistry has often been employed in mechanistic investigations for both ground state and photochemical rearrangements as documented, for example, in the earlier work of Zimmerman's studies of the Type A photorearrangements of dienones.⁸ Rrelevant examples are studies of the stereochemistry of the ground state Favorskii rearrangement extensively examin[ed](#page-8-0) by House,⁹ Sorenson,¹⁰ Bernetti, 11 and Bordwell.¹² The seminal studies by Loftfield¹³ on the 1,2-carbon migration later challenged by Dew[ar](#page-8-0)'s theoreti[cal](#page-8-0) treatment 14 now serve [as](#page-8-0) the two limiting mechanisms f[or](#page-8-0) the base-promoted Favorskii rearrangement. Loftfield's one-step

Special Issue: Howard Zimmerman Memorial Issue

Received: August 16, 2012 Published: October 11, 2012

Scheme 1. General Mechanism for the Photo-Favorskii Rearrangement

Scheme 2. Loftfield and Dewar Limiting Mechanisms as Applied to Photolysis of pHP Homologues

concerted S_N2 process contrasts with Dewar's two-step preformation of an oxyallyl zwitterion intermediate prior to cyclization by disrotatory closure¹⁵ to generate the Favorskii cyclopropanone intermediate. While both mechanisms involve the intermediacy of a cyclopropa[non](#page-8-0)e, the stereochemical consequences of a migrating stereogenic carbon are clearly distinguishable, and both limiting mechanisms have experimental support.^{8−11,16−18}

With this abundance of precedent of the limiting ground state m[e](#page-8-0)c[hani](#page-8-0)s[ms](#page-8-0), we were persuaded to pursue the stereochemistry of the photochemically driven Favorskii rearrangement. Our model employs a p-hydroxybutyrophenone equipped with a chiral leaving group, thus providing us with a pair of diastereomeric esters to test the stereochemical changes at the migrating carbon.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A convenient framework for testing the two limiting Favorskii rearrangement pathways is depicted in Scheme 2 using p-hydroxybutyrophenone 7. The stereochemical integrity of the migrating α -carbon (marked as *) was monitored by HPLC (chiral stationary phase) and by ¹H NMR.

Extension of the pHP aliphatic chain to p -hydroxybutyrophenone raises the possibility of photochemical competition by a Norrish Type II fragmentation instead of the photo-Favorskii rearrangement.¹⁹ However, when the normally efficient Type II reaction was pitted against the photo-Favorskii rearrangement with a series o[f](#page-8-0) o-methyl pHP analogues, the Type II reaction could be completely quenched by photolysis in solutions containing $>5\%$ H₂O, which gave exclusively photo-Favorskii products. Solvents with less than 10% H_2O yielded only Type II products.20

Synthesis and Assignment of Stereochemistry. Enantiomerically pure (R) -2-acetoxyphenylacetic acid $((R)$ -11) was reacted with α -bromo-p-hydroxybutyrophenone (rac-10) through a S_N^2 displacement of bromide to provide the pair of diastereomeric esters $12a,b$ as a 1:1 mixture (Scheme 3).^{21,22}

Scheme 3. Synthethesis of Diastereomeric Isomers 12[a an](#page-8-0)d 12b

Choice of the leaving group 11 served the dual purpose of creating a pair of separable diastereomeric esters as well as a direct, internal diagnostic for assigning the configuration of the butyrophenone stereogenic center. The assignment of the absolute configuration of each diastereomer 23 was based on the shielding effects of the (R) -11 aryl ring on identifiable elements on the butyrophenone fragment. [U](#page-8-0)sing chemical shift correlations analogous to those described by Trost for an O-methylmandelate model²⁴ (Figure 1), the configurations of $(S)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-oxobutan-2-yl (R)-2-acetoxy-2$ phenylacetate for 12a and ([R](#page-8-0))-1-(4-h[ydr](#page-2-0)oxyphenyl)-1-oxobutan-2-yl (R)-2-acetoxy-2-phenylacetate for 12b were assigned.

Photochemistry. The 1:1 diastereomer mixture of 12a,b (30 mmol in 0.6 mL of 4:1 CD_3CN/D_2O) was irradiated in an NMR tube for 49 min to nearly complete conversion using a Rayonet reactor equipped with two 15 W 300-nm lamps. Two major products were detected by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude

Figure 1. Fragments of ¹H NMR chart of a diastereomeric mixture 12a and 12b. The intervening carboxyl groups on structures A and B are omitted in order to emphasize the phenyl-aryl and phenyl-ethyl shielding interactions for the most stable rotamers of (S,R) -12a and (R,R) -12b, the major contributors to the shielding effects for the chemical shift differences. The more shielded component (highlighted in blue) of each diastereomer is shown to be eclipsed by the phenyl ring of 11 (shown in red).

Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectra during photolysis of 12a. The arrows point to the stereogenic methine protons for the two minor (13 and 14) and the major (9) products. See Figure 6 (Experimental Section) for the assignments.

r[ea](#page-6-0)ction mixture, the chiral leaving group (R) -11 (100%) and the rearranged 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butanoic acid²⁵ (9, 82%). Two minor products, 4-(1-hydroxypropyl)phenol (13, 7%)²⁶ and 2-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butan-1-one (14, 3%), were

also detected and identified (Figure 2 and Figure 7, Experimental Section). Hydroxy acid 14 is apparently formed by photohydrolysis, whereas the benzyl alc[oh](#page-2-0)ol 13, a u[biq](#page-7-0)[uitous minor](#page-4-0) [product](#page-4-0) in our studies, is formed from the photo-Favorskii cyclopropanone 18. Decarbonylation of 18 gave 4-propoylidenecyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-one (19) , an analogue of p-methylenequinone (5, Scheme 2), which hydrolyzes to 13. No evidence for competing Type II photoreaction of the butyrophenone was observed as anticipa[te](#page-1-0)d when H_2O solvents are employed.^{19,20}

The stereochemical consequences of the rearrangement were assessed by irradiation of the individual diastereo[mers](#page-8-0). Photolysis of (S,R) -12a produced racemic α -arylbutanoic acid (rac-9, see Supporting Information). Complete racemization was confirmed for the reaction when diastereomeric (R,R) -12b also gave o[nly racemic products \(Sc](#page-7-0)heme 4).

In a control reaction monitored by ¹H NMR, 12a was not converted to its diastereomer 12b during photolysis, ruling out a recombination reaction that would generate the diastereomeric mixture of the esters (Figure 3), thus assuring the integrity of

Figure 3. Portions of ¹H NMR spectra of 12b at $t = 14$ min (top) at 40% conversion and at $t = 0$ min for 12b (middle) and at $t = 0$ min (lower) for 12a. The broadening and added complexity of the ¹H NMR signals at $t = 14$ min surrounding the terminal CH₃ group at 0.8 ppm arise from the contributions from the terminal $CH₃$ groups of products 9, 13, and 14 (see Experimental Section for further details).

starting esters (S,R) -12a and (R,R) -12b [throu](#page-4-0)ghout the photolysis and demonstrating that rac-9 was not the result of an a priori equilibration of the stereogenic center (Scheme 5). Quantum yields are also consistent with irreversible release of the acid (Φ_{dis} = 0.54 (\pm 0.05) for 12a and b) and are among the most efficient pHP arboxylates.

Scheme 5. Photochemical Integrity of the Butyrophenone Stereogenic Center of (S,R) -12a and (R,R) -12b.

Remaining fundamental questions are the nature of the ring closure to cyclopropanone 3, which is not defined in Scheme 1, and the origin of the hydroxyketone 14, which does not appear in most treatments of the photo-Favorskii reaction mechanis[m.](#page-1-0) Here we draw upon the Zimmerman paradigm developed in his early work on the photorearrangement of 2,5-cyclohexadien-1 one to bicycle[3.1.0]hexenone. He suggested that photochemical reactions might be viewed as four common steps: (1) excitation, (2) adiabatic bond alteration and (3) demotion or relaxation to the ground state energy surface where (4) further bond alteration is proceeding along a classical ground state surface to the final photoproducts.8,27 Steps 2 and 4 are classical electron pushing approaches toward defining a mechanism. We have applied the approach to th[e ph](#page-8-0)otorearrangement of 12a,b and to our recent study on photo-Favorskii ring contraction reaction of cyclic analogue 20 shown together in Scheme 6.

Formation of cyclopropanone 18 (or 24) is a prohibitively high energy process on the triplet excite[d](#page-4-0) state surface^{5b} and is spin forbidden; therefore, it must occur from a relaxed singlet oxyallyl biradical (open shell) or closed shell counter[pa](#page-8-0)rt, the ground state Dewar zwitterion (Scheme 2). In ground state Favorskii rearrangements where the Dewar mechanism obtains, the reaction leads to complete loss of [t](#page-1-0)he stereochemical integrity of the α -carbon. For our photo-Favorskii study, the α -carbon is completely racemized at the final productstage, e.g., rac-9, thus quantifying the extent of involvement of the oxyallyl biradical 16 intermediate. In our previous study, the transient nature of the oxyallyl biradical limited our assessment of its relative significance as an intermediate in the formation of the phenylacetic acid.^{5b}

The two minor products from the photo-Favorskii rearrangement, hydr[oxy](#page-8-0)phenol 13 and hydroxyketone 14, provide Scheme 6. Contrasting and Comparing the Cyclic (20) and Acyclic (12a) p-Hydroxybutyrophenone Pathways

additional insight. The hydroxyphenol 13, always seen as a minor product, is formed by decarbonylation of the cyclopropanone 18 followed by hydrolysis^{4,5b,6} yielding racemic p-hydroxyphenyl propanol ((\pm) -13; Scheme 6). We previously denoted this product as a signature of [th](#page-7-0)[e](#page-8-0) [in](#page-8-0)termediacy of the Favorskii cyclopropanone 18. 5,6

The origin of the hydroxyketone 14 is less apparent and less frequently encountered. Cor[ri](#page-8-0) and Wan^{5c} first reported this minor photohydrolysis product, which we have subsequently confirmed.^{4,5a,b,d} Most recent, cycloalka[non](#page-8-0)e 20 (Scheme 6), which may be viewed as having the terminal carbon of the butyl group on [bu](#page-7-0)[tyrop](#page-8-0)henone bonded directly to the aryl ring, yields a hydroxyketone, e.g., 23, as the major photoproduct (57% vs 3% for 14) upon photolysis.⁴ In solvent containing 18O-labeled water, the hydroxyl group contained the 18O-label. The mechanism that incorporat[es](#page-7-0) the previous findings with this stereochemical study suggests that the hydrolysis reaction also results from divergence of the closure pathway for the zwitterion 21 toward reaction with the solvent. The very minor proportion of the bifurcation from Favorskii toward hydrolysis in this reaction is the lack of steric or strain interfering with ring closure for 17 vis-a-vis 22. The previous study demonstrated that ring strain was a major factor in the partitioning of the two pathways: smaller cycloalkanone rings favored formation of the hydroxyketone while larger rings and acyclic ketones gave predominantly the rearranged acids.⁴ The low yield of 14 from 12 accords well with the increase in ring strain of 24. The results further suggest that the buty[ry](#page-7-0)l group does not cause sufficient steric congestion to divert zwitterion 17 away from the Favorskii pathway. It is noteworthy that the quantum efficiencies are not diminished, supporting the conclusion that the changes in product ratios are independent of the photorelease of the leaving group but are subject to the partitioning of a common intermediates, e.g., the zwitterions 17 and 22. Similar results are found for the ground state, $ZnCl₂$ -catalyzed Favorskii rearrangements of the corresponding bromides where the formation of the same two products are formed by competition between solvolysis and rearrangement.^{4,28} These results support a mechanism where the rearrangements emanate from a ground state zwitterio[n](#page-7-0) 17, i.e., step 4 in [th](#page-8-0)e Zimmerman paradigm. $8,27$

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

The most attractive sequence that accomplishes both the rearrangement and complete equilibration of the stereogenic center to form rac-9 begins with the irreversible heterolysis of the leaving group from the chromophore from the chiral triplet, 3 12a or 3 12b, forming an achiral and planar triplet biradical 3 16. All subsequent products must be racemic. Subsequent relaxation of the triplet biradical 316 to its open shell singlet 16 then to the closed shell Dewar intermediate 17^{29} followed by ring closure of the oxyallyl-phenoxy intermediate provides a route to the racemic products (Scheme 6). Fur[the](#page-8-0)rmore, the triplet biradical ³ 2 observed in our earlier TR laser flash absorption studies^{5b} accounts for the complete racemization.

The results do not accord with a stereospecific, S_N^2 -like pathw[ays](#page-8-0) analogous to those observed for several ground state Favorskii rearrangements, e.g., the work of Stork,^{16a} House,⁹ Bernetti,¹¹ and Sorenson.¹⁰ Instead, the complete racemization of pHP analogues involves an oxyallyl-phenoxy i[nte](#page-8-0)rmediat[e](#page-8-0) paralleli[ng](#page-8-0) the ground [s](#page-8-0)tate mechanism of Dewar¹⁴ and documented by Bordwell.^{12,17} Alternative routes, e.g., equilibration of the starting esters or a concerted formation of p-hydro[xyb](#page-8-0)enzyl acylium intermediates, ^{6h} [do n](#page-8-0)ot occur.

EXPERIMENTA[L](#page-8-0) SECTION

General Information. NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz instrument, equipped with a quadruple-band gradient probe (H/C/P/F QNP) or a 500 MHz with a dual carbon/proton cryoprobe (CPDUL). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with broad-band decoupling. Chiral HPLC was performed using Chiralcel OD-H column $(250 \text{ mm} \times 4.6 \text{ mm})$, 10% IPA in hexanes) using a UV−vis detector tuned to 254 nm. Photolysis studies were carried out in a rotating merry-go-round

Figure 4. Chemical shift correlation model for assigning the absolute configurations of (S,R) -pHP (R) -APAc 12a,b.

apparatus fitted into a Rayonet reactor equipped with two 15 W 300-nm lamps.

Anhydrous acetonitrile was obtained by passing degassed HPLCgrade consecutively through two columns filled with activated alumina. Anhydrous DMF was obtained by distillation of ACS-grade DMF over calcium hydride under a nitrogen atmosphere. α-Bromo-p-hydroxybutyrophenone 10^{30} 4-(1-hydroxypropyl) phenol $(13)^{26}$ and racemic 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butanoic acid $(9)^{25}$ have been described previously. (R) - $(-)$ - O [-A](#page-8-0)cetylmandelic acid $((R)$ -11) is com[mer](#page-8-0)cially available.

RBF was charged with 1.00 g (6.09 mmol) of 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-

butan-1-one, 2.99 g of $CuBr₂$ (13.4 mmol), and 30 mL of 1:1 EtOAc/ CHCl3. The heterogeneous solution was brought to reflux and, with vigorous stirring, preceded for 12 h. After this time, the solution was cooled to room temperature, and supervening filtration through a plug of silica afforded, after inspissation, 1.50 g of a white, crystalline material that through ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis (CDCl₃) adduced 2-bromo-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butan-1-one (9) as the primary product with trace amounts of the starting material and the dibrominated analogue. Isolation of 10 was not essential for the next reaction step but could be achieved by flash chromatography with EtOAc/Hex (1:2) as the eluent.

Synthesis of 1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-1-oxobutan-2-yl (R)-2-Acetoxy-2-phenylacetate (12a,b). A flame-dried 50 mL RBF was charged with 1.00 g (5.15 mmol) of (R)-2-acetoxy-2-phenylacetic acid 11, and 20 mL of dry DMF. The corresponding solution was cooled to −10 °C

Figure 5. Fragments of ¹H NMR charts used for assigning of the absolute configuration of 12a,b: (A) 63:37 mixture of 12a and 12b; (B) pure 12a; (C) pure 12b.

Figure 6. Photolysis of 12a at complete conversion to products. See Discussion and Figure 2 for more detail.

with an ice/saturated, aqueous NaCl bath, and with vigorous stirring, 206 mg of NaH (60% w/w in mineral oil, 5.15 mmol) was added. The suspension brought to room temperature with further stirring for 30 min before 1.04 g (4.29 mmol) of 9 (2-bromo-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl) butan-1-one, racemic) was added. The reaction proceeded overnight, after which the solution was diluted with EtOAc and washed profusely with H₂O. Flash column chromatography $(1:1 \text{ EtOAc/Hex})$ afforded 1.71 g of 12a ((R)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-oxobutan-2-yl (R)-2-acetoxy-2-phenylacetate (R) -pHP (R) -APAc)) and 12b $((S)$ -1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-oxobutan-2-yl (R)-2-acetoxy-2-phenylacetate (S)-pHP (R)-APAc)) as a ∼1:1 mixture, in 93% yield, as a white crystalline solid.

Resolution of the diastereomeric mixture was accomplished as follows: A 1:1 mixture of diastereomers 12a,b was dissolved in minimum amount of hot ether and dichloromethane (∼3:1) and refluxed until all solid was dissolved. Cyclohexane was added gradually, until crystals began to form. The mixture was then cooled in a refrigerator overnight. Crystals were collected by vacuum filtration to give (R,R) -12b with 90% dr. The mother liquor was concentrated to give (S,R)-12a with 85% dr. Additional recrystallization of the diastereomers from ethyl acetate provided (R,R) -12b and (S,R) -12a with >98% dr as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. The absolute configurations of the resolved esters were established by ¹H NMR using chemical shift correlations analogous to Trost's model developed for O-methylmandelates (Figure 4).²⁴ The extended Newman projections A and B with omitted intervening ester linkage represent the most stable conformations, which contri[but](#page-8-0)e the most to the observed chemical shifts of diastereomers (S,R[\)-](#page-5-0)12a and (R,R) -12b. The substituents shown in blue, eclipsing the mandelate phenyl rings (shown in red) are expected to be shifted upfield as a result of anisotropic shielding interaction. Accordingly, the absolute configuration (S,R) was assigned to diastereomer 12a based on the observed upfield shift of a triplet component of the A_3X_2 system corresponding to the ethyl group (0.75 ppm). Analogously, shielding of both doublet components (6.79 and 7.78 ppm) of the AA′XX′ system corresponding to the *para*-substituted aromatic ring allowed for assigning the (R,R) configuration to diastereomer 12b (Figure 5).

 (S,R) -12a: mp 154–155 °C. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 7.94−7.76 (m, 2H), 7.56 (dd, J = 6.6 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 2H), 7.49−7.43 (m, 3H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.02 (s, 1[H\)](#page-5-0), 5.76 (dd, J = 8.7 Hz, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.93−1.83 (m, 1H), 1.86−1.71 (m, 1H), 0.84 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.76 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 195.1, 171.4, 169.8, 163.3, 135.4, 132.3 (2C), 130.7, 130.1 (2C), 129.2 (2C), 127.9, 116.8 (2C), 78.6, 75.7, 26.1, 21.2, 10.3; FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3202, 2980, 1757, 1742, 1726, 1686, 1659, 1603, 1570, 1518, 1458, 1441, 1373, 1267, 1227, 1215, 1173, 1097, 1055, 1041, 933, 901, 856, 843,

750, 698, 685, 623, 513; HRMS (TOF ESI) calculated for M + Li = $C_{20}H_{20}O_6Li$ 363[.1](#page-2-0)420; found 363.1423.

 (R,R) -12b: mp 166−167 °C. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 7.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (br. s., 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 6.5 Hz, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 7.45−7.40 (m, 3H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 5.80 $(dd, J = 8.0$ Hz, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.98–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.82– 1.72 (m, 1H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.76 MHz, CD3CN) δ 194.7, 171.2, 169.4, 162.9, 134.7, 131.9 (2C), 130.3, 129.7 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 127.6, 116.4 (2C), 78.3, 75.2, 25.7, 20.9, 9.9; FT-IR (KBr, cm[−]¹): 3364, 2984, 1747, 1730, 1688, 1599, 1585, 1512, 1441, 1375, 1350, 1281, 1265, 1231, 1213, 1173, 1097, 1057, 935, 901, 856, 843, 750, 696, 685, 669, 660, 615, 532, 513, 401. HRMS (TOF ESI) calculated for $M + H = C_{20}H_{21}O_6$ 357.1338, found 357.1339.

Synthesis of 2-Hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butan-1-one (14). 2-Hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butan-1-one was obtained from bromide

10 following the previously reported procedure.^{6d} Sodium formate (3.82 g, 112.2 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (150 mL), and bromide 10 (2.124 g, 8.74 mmol) was added. The resulting [mix](#page-8-0)ture was stirred at reflux (78 °C) for 1 day, after which time the mixture was filtered hot and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was crystallized from ethyl acetate:hexane to give 2-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butan-1-one (14) (0.757 g, 4.2 mmol, 48%) as a white crystalline solid. Mp 123−¹²⁴ °C. ¹ ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 7.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.99 (br. s., 1H), 3.69 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.34 (br. s., 1H), 1.87 (dtd, J = 14.4 Hz, 7.3 Hz, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (dq, J = 14.3 Hz, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.76 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 201.4, 163.0, 132.2 (2C), 127.3, 116.4 (2C), 74.4, 29.8, 9.4; FT-IR (KBr, cm[−]¹): 3223, 3072, 3026, 2968, 2935, 2912, 2876, 1663, 1603, 1591, 1516, 1445, 1439, 1406, 1313, 1279, 1256, 1238, 1167, 1128, 1113, 1092, 1059, 970, 960, 874, 852, 841, 829, 810, 771, 735, 712, 677, 613, 509, 494; HRMS (TOF ESI) calculated for $M^{+} = C_{10}H_{12}O_3$ 180.0786, found 180.0786.

General Procedure for Photolysis. Ester 12a (11 mg, 0.03 mmol) was placed in an NMR tube and dissolved in a 4:1 mixture of CD_3CN/D_2O . The sample was irradiated in a Rayonet reactor equipped with two 15 W RPR3000 lamps for 50 min. The reaction

Figure 7. (A) Reaction mixture A obtained from photolysis of 12a stopped at ∼30% conversion (see Figures 2 and 6). (B) Mixture A spiked with an authentic sample of 13. (C) Mixture A spiked with an authentic sample of 14.

course was monitored by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (Figure 6). The NMR yields of the released (R)-2-acetoxy-2-phenylacetic acid (11) and the rearranged product 9 were found to be 100% and 82%, respectively. Upon completion of the reaction, the crude mix[tur](#page-6-0)e was concentrated, and the main products were isolated by acid−base extraction. The products identity was confirmed by comparison of their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra with those of the authentic samples. The identities of the minor side products were established by spiking the reaction mixture with authentic samples of the corresponding compounds (Figure 7).

Quantum Yield Measurements. Actinometry: Light output (in mEinsteins/min) was measured by the potassium ferrioxalate method.³¹ The solutions were prepared and irradiated as described above in the General Procedure. The ¹H NMR spectra of the sample were m[eas](#page-8-0)ured at 10 min intervals for up to 40 min. Proton signal of the remaining starting material (singlet at 6.0 ppm) was integrated against signals of DMF as the internal standard. The results are given in the Results and Discussion section.

■ A[SSOCIATED CONT](#page-1-0)ENT

S Supporting Information

 1 H, 13 C NMR, and HPLC chromatograms are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHO](http://pubs.acs.org)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: givensr@ku.edu.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no c](mailto:givensr@ku.edu)ompeting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support for this work was provided by NIH grant R01 GM72910 (RSG).

■ DEDICATION

This article is dedicated to the memory of Professor Howard E. Zimmerman, University of Wisconsin, Madison (July 5, 1926−February 11, 2012).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Givens, R. S.; Rubina, M.; Wirz, J. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2012, *11*, 472. (b) Klán, P.; Šolomek, T.; Bochet, C.; Blanc, A.; Givens, R. S.; Rubina, M.; Popik, V.; Kostikov, A.; Wirz, J. Chem. Rev. 2012, accepted for publication. (c) Klan, P.; Wirz, J. Photochemistry of Organic Compounds: From Concepts to Practice, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, 2009. (d) Dynamic Studies in Biology: Phototriggers, Photoswitches, and Caged Biomolecules; Givens, R. S., Goeldner, M., Eds.; J. Wiley, and Sons, Inc.: New York, 2005. (f) Pelliccioli, A. P.; Wirz, J. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2002, 1, 441. (e) Givens, R. S.; Weber, J. F. W.; Jung, A. H.; Park, C.-H. Methods Enzymol. 1998, 291, 1−29.

(2) (a) C. J. Pickens, C. J.; Gee, K. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4989. (b) Kötting, C.; Güldenhaupt, J.; Gerwert, K. Chem. Phys. 2012, 396, 72. (c) Sot, B.; Kötting, C.; Deaconescu, D.; Suveyzdis, Y.; Gerwert, K.; Wittinghofer, A. EMBO J. 2010, 29, 1205. (d) Brucker, C.; Gerwert., K.; Kötting, C. J. Mol. Biol. 2010, 401, 1−6. (e) Kötting, C.; Gerwert, K. Chem. Phys. 2004, 307, 227. (f) Stensrud, K.; Noh, J.; Kandler, K.; Wirz, J.; Heger, D.; Givens, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5219. (g) Kötting, C.; Kallenbach, A.; Suveyzdis, Y.; Wittinghofer, A.; Gerwert, K. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2008, 105, 6260. (h) Warscheid, B.; Brucker, S.; Kallenbach, A.; Meyer, H. E.; Gerwert, K.; Kötting, C. Vibrational Spect. 2008, 48, 28. (i) Kötting, C.; Kallenbach, A.; Suveyzdis, Y.; Eichholz, E.; Gerwert, K. ChemBioChem 2007, 8, 781. (j) Kötting, C.; Gerwert, K. Chem. Phys. 2004, 307, 227. (k) Sul, J-Y; Orosz, G.; Givens, R. S.; Haydon, P. G. Neuron Glia Biol. 2004, 1, 3. (l) Specht, A.; Loudwig, S.; Peng, L.; Goeldner, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 8947−8950. (m) Du, X.; Frei, H.; Kim, S.-H. J. Biol. Chem. 2000, 275, 8492.

(3) Anderson, J. C.; Reese, C. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1962, 1, 1.

(4) Kammath, V. B.; Solomek, T.; Ngoy, B. P.; Heger, D.; Klan, P.; Rubina, M.; Givens, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 2012, DOI: 10.1021/ jo300850a.

(5) (a) Conrad, P. G., II; Givens, R. S.; Hellrung, B.; Rajesh, C. S.; Ramseier, M.; Wirz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9346. (b) Givens, R. S.; Heger, D.; Hellrung, B.; Kamdzhilov, Y.; Mac, M.; Conrad, P. G.; Cope, E.; Lee, J. I.; Mata-Segreda, J. F.; Schowen, R. L.; Wirz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3307. (c) Zhang, K.; aCorrie, J. E. T.; Munasinghe, V. R. N.; Wan, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5625. (d) Stensrud, K. F.; Heger, D.; Sebej, P.; Wirz, J.; Givens, R. S. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2008, 7, 614.

(6) (a) Ma., C.; Zuo, P.; Kwok, W. M.; Chan, W. S.; Kan, J. T. Z.; Toy, P. H.; Phillips, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 664. (b) Ma., C.; Chan, W. S.; Kwok, W. M.; Zuo, P.; Phillips, D. L. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 9264. (c) Ma., C.; Kwok, W. M.; Chan, W. S.; Zuo, P.; Kan, J. T. W.; Toy, P. H.; Phillips, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 1463. (d) Ma, C.; Kwok, W. M.; Chan, W. S.; Du, Y.; Kan, J. T. W.; Phillips, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2558. (e) Chan, W. S.; Kwok, W. M.; Phillips, D. L. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 3454−3469. (f) Zuo, P.; Ma., C.; Kwok, W. M.; Chan, W.; Phillips, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8661. (g) Chen, X. B.; Ma., C.; Kwok, W. M.; Guan, X.; Du, Y.; Phillips, D. L. J. Phys Chem. A 2006, 110, 12406. (h) Cao, Q.; Guan, X. G.; George, M. W.; Phillips, D. L.; Ma, C. S.; Kwok, W. M.; Li, M. D.; Du, Y.; Sun, X. Z.; Xue, J. D. Faraday Discuss. 2010, 145, 171 and 255 for discussion of the paper.

(7) Chiang, Y.; Kresge, A. J.; Zhu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6349.

- (8) Zimmerman, H. E. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 1617.
- (9) House, H. O.; Richey, F. A., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 2151.
- (10) Sorenson, T. S.; Sun, F Can. J. Chem. 1997, 75, 1030.
- (11) Ambrosini, M.; Baricordi, N.; Benetti, S.; De Risi, C.; Pollini, G. P.; Zanirato, V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 2145.
- (12) Bordwell, F. G.; Strong, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 579.
- (13) (a) Loftfield, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 632. (b) Loftfield, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 4707.
- (14) (a) Burr, J. G.; Dewar, M. J. S. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 1201. (b) Aston, J. G.; Newkirk, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 3900.

(15) This was later defined in: Woodward, R. B.; Hoffman, R. The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry; Verlag Chemie GmbH: Weinheim, 1970.

(16) (a) Stork, G.; Borowitz, I. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 4307. (b) Kende, A. S. Org. React. 1960, 11, 261.

(17) (a) Bordwell, F. G.; Almy, J. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 571. (b) Bordwell, F. G.; Scamehorn, R. G.; Springer, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2087. (c) Bordwell, F. G.; Scamehorn, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 6751. (d) Bordwell, F. G.; Frame, R. R.; Scamehorn, R. G.; Strong, J. G.; Meyerson, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 6704.

(18) Theoretical treatments of the stereochemistry of Favorskii stereochemistry are found in (a) Hamblin, G. D.; Jimenez, R. P.; Sorensen, T. S. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8033−8045. (b) Tsuchida, N.; Yamazaki, S.; Yamabe, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3109.

(19) (a) Wagner, P. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1971, 4, 168. (b) Wagner, P. J.; Kemppain, Ae; Kochevar, I. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7489.

(20) Šebej, P.; Lim, B. H.; Park, B. S.; Givens, R. S.; Klán, P. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 644.

(21) King, L. C.; Ostrum, G. K. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 3459.

(22) See the Experimental Section for more detail on the synthesis and stereochemical assignments and Supporting Information for spectra and details on the photo racemization results.

(23) Separati[on](#page-4-0) [of](#page-4-0) [diastereomers](#page-4-0) wa[s accomplished by fracti](#page-7-0)onal crystallization of 12a,b to provide (R,R) -12b and (S,R) -12a each with >98% dr; see Supporting Information for details.

(24) Trost, B. M.; Belletire, J. L.; Godleski, S.; McDougal, P. G.; Balkovec, J. [M.; Baldwin, J. J.; Christy,](#page-7-0) M. E.; Ponticello, G. S.; Varga, S. L; Springer, J. P J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2370.

(25) Skaddan, M. B.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Bioconjugate Chem. 1999, 10, 119.

(26) Angle, S. R.; Arnaiz, D. O. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5937.

(27) (a) Zimmerman, H. E. In Advances in Photochemistry; Noyes, W. A. J., Hammond, G. S., Pitts, J. N. J., Eds.; Interscience: New York,

1963; Vol. 1, p 183. (b) Zimmerman, H. E.; Schuster, D. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 4527.

(28) (a) Mandal, A. N.; Bhattacharya, S.; Raychaudhuri, S. R.; Chatterjee, A. J. Chem. Res 1988, 366. (b) Maiti, S. B.; Chaudhuri, S. R. R.; Chatterjee, A. Synthesis 1987, 806.

(29) Two recent studies on the generation of triplet oxyallyl diradicals have established that the ground state is the singlet state. For parent oxyallyl, the ground state singlet is 55 meV more stable than its excited triplet. Ichino, T.; Villano, S. M.; Gianola, A. J.; Goebbert, D. J.; Verarde, L.; Sanov, A.; Blanksby, S. J.; Zhou, X.; Hrovat, D. A.; Borden, W. T.; Lineberger, W. C. J. Phys. Chem. 2011, 115, 1634. The generation of the biradical by photodecarbonylation of a substituted 1,3-cyclobutanedione generated a long-lived singlet ground state oxyallyl intermediate ($\tau_{1/2}$ = 42 min) in the crystalline solid state at 298 K. In solution, the singlet oxyallyl's barrier to cyclopropanone formation was 2.6 kcal/mol with a lifetime of a few picoseconds. Kuzmanich, G.; Spanig, F.; Tsai, C.-K.; Um, J. M.; Hoekstra, R. M.; Houk, K. N.; Guldi, D. M.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2342.

(30) Ling, C.; Zheng, Z.; Jiang, X. C.; Zhong, W.; Li, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 5123.

(31) Hatchard, C. G.; Parker, C. A. Proc. R. Soc. London A 1965, A220, 518.